

## SECTION C. SURVEY EVALUATION

We are now going to ask you a series of questions to determine if the Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Prioritization Survey has accomplished its main objectives and to assess the usability of the survey. The three main objectives are as follows:

1. To determine the relative importance of vaccination criteria based on their ability to achieve the intervention goals.
2. To determine how well each target group meets the relevant vaccination criteria based on the target groups' health characteristics and occupational roles.
3. To determine which target groups should be prioritized for pandemic influenza vaccine

### Evaluating Survey Instrument

#### INSTRUCTIONS

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.



#### QUESTIONS

**Q13.** The rating exercise (*Section A. Question #1*) adequately assessed the relative importance between the seven vaccination criteria.

*Strongly Agree*

*Agree*

*Disagree*

*Strongly Disagree*

**Q14.** The list of target groups was comprehensive (*Section B. Question #11*); no relevant groups were missing from the target group list.

*Strongly Agree*

*Agree*

*Disagree*

*Strongly Disagree*

**Q15.** The criteria questions (*Section B. Questions #2-10*) accurately captured the important dimensions of each of the seven criteria.

*Strongly Agree*

*Agree*

*Disagree*

*Strongly Disagree*

**Q16.** I was able to answer the criteria questions (*Section B. Questions #2-10*) for the majority of target groups.

*Strongly Agree*

*Agree*

*Disagree*

*Strongly Disagree*

**Q17.** Please provide any additional feedback on how we can improve the survey instrument?


**Evaluating Reference Document**

**Q18.** Was the level of information provided about the target groups (*Reference Document Part II. Target Group Descriptions*) sufficient to answer the criteria questions?

*Not enough information*

*Right Amount of Information*

*Too Much Information*

**Q19.** If you answered "NO" to Q18, please tell us what other information you needed.


**Q20.** Was the level of information provided about the criteria (e.g., criteria definitions, research evidence) sufficient to answer the questions?

*Not enough information*

*Right Amount of Information*

*Too Much Information*

**Q21.** If you answered “**NO**” to Q20, please tell us what other information you needed in order to answer the questions.


**Evaluating Instructional Presentation**

**Q22.** Did you watch the webcast presentations?

	<i>Entire Presentation</i>	<i>Some of the Presentation</i>	<i>None of the presentation</i>
<b>Part I. California’s Prioritization Process</b> (Speaker- Trevor Shoemaker)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Part II. Vaccine Prioritization Survey Orientation</b> (Speaker- Lisa Goldberg)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**If you DID NOT watch the presentations please skip to Q26. If you watched some or all of the presentations please continue to next question (Q23).**

**Q23.** How would you assess these presentations?

	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Poor</i>
a) Delivery and presentation by presenters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Quality of the content of the presentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Quality of presentation materials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Q24.** Did the presenters fulfill the objectives for this lecture?

<i>Presentation Objectives</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>Partially</i>	<i>No</i>
a) Describe California's need for developing a novel pandemic vaccine prioritization process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Describe the Decision Analysis Scoring Tool (DAST) Methodology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Describe how the prioritization survey will be used to develop a rank-ordered prioritization list	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Review the vaccination criteria definitions, direct determinants and research evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Clarify survey instructions and review survey questions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Q25.** Overall, how well did the lecture meet your expectations?

<i>Exceeded Expectations</i>	<i>Met Expectations</i>	<i>Did not meet expectations</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Q26.** Please provide any additional feedback on how we can improve the instructional presentation.


**Analyzing Survey Results**

**Q27.** This prioritization process (presentation + survey instrument) contained sufficient detail regarding how the survey results will be analyzed and used.

<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Q28.** I feel confident in CDHS using the survey results to develop California's prioritized list of population groups for pandemic influenza vaccination.

<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Thank you!**

Thank you for completing the Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Prioritization Survey. We will report the results of this survey to participants when the survey process has been completed.

Please place the SURVEY INSTRUMENT and EVALUATION questionnaires into the stamped envelope provided and mail to the Center for Infectious Disease Preparedness (CIDP). Thank you again for your participation.